

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/536,663	03/27/2000	Gary L Gastineau	11657-002001	11657-002001 3493	
22930	7590 02/24/2004	EXAMINER			
HOWREY S BOX 34	IMON ARNOLD & WH	GREENE, I	GREENE, DANIEL L		
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	A1:4/-\	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
- Advisory Action	09/536,663	GASTINEAU ET AL.	
· · ·	Examiner	Art Unit	A
	Daniel L. Greene	3621	My
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 10(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of the	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below).	•
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		see 140 i L below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	,	rially reducing or sir	molifying the
issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Raper No(s).	•	
10. Other:			
	SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CE	AT EVARAINED	7
S. Patent and Trademark Office		NIER 3600	<u>-</u>





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The term "exchange traded fund" appears to not be your standard fund portfolio and requires new study and research to validate the apparent differences as presented by the Attorney. The term "security positions" also appears not to be the standard symbol/price of a stock. This proposal by the Attorney requires further study and evaluation. Since these new terms were added the Examiner would be required to modify and institute a new search strtegy.